

ANGULAR REDSHIFT FLUCTUATIONS AND CMB LENSING

LOUIS LEGRAND

CARLOS HERNANDEZ-MONTEAGUDO, MARIAN DOUSPIS, NABILA AGHANIM



PROBE COMBINATION



- Break degeneracies between:
 - Cosmology
 - Astrophysics
 - Systematic uncertainties

ANGULAR POWER SPECTRA

Our tool to probe the distribution of matter.

$$C_{\ell}^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk k^{2} P(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{\alpha}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{\beta}(k),$$

Matter power spectrum

Kernels specific to the probes

ANGULAR REDSHIFT FLUCTUATIONS

Take the redshift of galaxies as a 3D field:

$$z_{\text{obs}}(z, \hat{n}) = z + (1 + z) \frac{\mathbf{v}(z, \hat{n}) \cdot \hat{n}}{c}$$

Project this on a 2D map, under a radial selection function W(z). Measure the fluctuations of this map.

We obtain the theoretical angular power spectrum of this map by linearising at first order in density and velocity.

Make forecast for spectroscopic surveys: DESI and Euclid

ARF KERNELS

$$\Delta_{\ell}^{\delta_{z}} = \Delta_{\ell}^{\delta_{z}} \big|_{\delta_{m}} + \Delta_{\ell}^{\delta_{z}} \big|_{v_{los}} \qquad C_{\ell}^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk k^{2} P(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{\alpha}(k) \Delta_{\ell}^{\beta}(k),$$

$$\text{Terms specific to ARF}$$

$$\Delta_{\ell}^{z} \big|_{\delta}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \int dV \,\bar{n}_{g}(z) \, W(z) \, b_{g}(z) \, D(z) \, (z - \bar{z}) \, j_{\ell}(k \, r(z))$$

$$\Delta_{\ell}^{z} \big|_{v}(k) = \frac{1}{N} \int dV \, \bar{n}_{g}(z) \, W(z) \, (1 + z) \, H(z) \frac{dD}{dz} \left[1 + (z - \bar{z}) \frac{d \ln W}{dz} \right] \frac{j_{\ell}'(k \, r(z))}{k}$$

$$N = \int \mathrm{d}r \; r^2 \,\bar{n}_{\mathrm{g}}(z) \; W_i(z)$$

SENSITIVITY TO PECULIAR VELOCITIES



HOW CAN WE PREDICT ARF FOR FUTURE EXPERIMENTS?

- Fisher formalism:
 - Assumes a gaussian likelihood between parameters.
 - Depend on the survey properties.
- We assume a gaussian likelihood between the probes, such that the fisher matrix is given by:

$$F_{i,j} = \sum_{\ell} \frac{\partial C_{\ell}}{\partial \lambda_i} \operatorname{Cov}_{\ell}^{-1} \frac{\partial C_{\ell}}{\partial \lambda_j}$$

 $\operatorname{Cov}_{\ell}\left(C_{\ell}^{\alpha,\beta},C_{\ell}^{\gamma,\delta}\right) = \frac{1}{(2\ell+1)f_{\text{sky}}} \times \left[\left(C_{\ell}^{\alpha,\gamma} + \delta_{\gamma}^{\alpha}N_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right)\left(C_{\ell}^{\beta,\delta} + \delta_{\delta}^{\beta}N_{\ell}^{\beta}\right)\left(C_{\ell}^{\alpha,\delta} + \delta_{\delta}^{\alpha}N_{\ell}^{\alpha}\right)\left(C_{\ell}^{\beta,\gamma} + \delta_{\gamma}^{\beta}N_{\ell}^{\beta}\right)\right]$



٠

SHOT NOISE

$$N_{\ell}^{g_i,g_j} = \frac{\delta_j^i}{N_g^i} \qquad \qquad N_g^i = \int \mathrm{d}r \ r^2 \ \bar{n}_g(z) \ W_i(z)$$

$$N_{\ell}^{z_i, z_j} = \frac{\delta_j^i}{\left(N_{g}^i\right)^2} \int \mathrm{d}r \ r^2 \,\bar{n}_{g}(z) \ W_i(z) \ \left(z - \bar{z}_i\right)^2$$

$$N_{\ell}^{g_i, z_j} = 0$$

DESI EMISSION LINE GALAXIES

Desi survey = $14\ 000\ deg^2$



FULL REDSHIFT TOMOGRAPHY



FULL REDSHIFT TOMOGRAPHY



CMB LENSING BREAKS THE SIGMA8-BIAS DEGENERACY



DESI AND EUCLID



COMPARE DESI AND EUCLID



HOW MUCH DO WE GAIN WHEN INCLUDING ARF IN THE ANALYSIS

Figure of Merit ~ area of the ellipse

Increase on the FoM of $\,\Omega_{\rm m}$ – $\sigma_{\!8}\,$ when combining galaxy clustering, ARF and CMB lensing probes.

	+ARF	+ARF +CMB Lensing
DESI	x2.5	x4.6
Euclid	x1.8	x5.8

DARK ENERGY EQUATION OF STATE WO-WA



CONCLUSIONS

- ARF are more sensitive to peculiar velocity than the usual galaxy clustering.
- It is a promising way to put additional constraints on cosmological parameters.
- Next steps will be to include the mass of neutrinos as a free parameter.
- Check we get complementary information compared to RSD measurements and to peculiar velocity field reconstructions.

CMB LENSING

The lensing effects on the CMB gives a measurement of the integral of the mass along the line of sight up to the last scattering surface.



